

REMARKS

I. Status of Application

By the present amendment, claim 44 has been amended. Claim 44 is currently pending in the application. Claim 44 has been rejected.

II. Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner has rejected claim 44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,809,831 to Minari et al. (hereinafter “Minari”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,519,568 to Harvey et al. (hereinafter “Harvey”). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Nevertheless, without conceding to the merits of the Examiner’s rejection, claim 44 has been amended, as set forth above, to recite (among other things):

...transmitting print setup information and an electronic mail address for a user of the print system, from the distributor terminal to the print control server, wherein the print setup information and the electronic mail address are provided by a user of the distributor terminal;
storing the electronic mail address at the print control server;
generating control information and a browsing HTML file at the print control server, wherein the control information is generated based on the print setup information..

Claim 44 is patentable over Minari and Harvey *at least* because the cited references nowhere teach or suggest the above features. Indeed, consistent with the invention recited in claim 44, the control information used for the printing operation is generated based on the print

setup information provided by a user of the distributor terminal. Neither Minari, Harvey, nor any combination thereof, teaches or suggests these features and, therefore, claim 44 is patentable over the cited references for *at least* these reasons.

Additionally, the grounds of rejection rely on FIG. 2; column 2, lines 64-67; and column 3, lines 1-30 of Minari (*see* page 4, lines 3-7 of the 11/28/08 Office Action) as allegedly teaching the feature of “storing the electronic mail address at the print control server,” as claimed. However, Minari fails to provide any teaching or suggestion whatsoever regarding an electronic mail address. Therefore, Minari does not teach, and cannot possibly suggest, the features of storing the electronic mail address at the print control server, as recited in claim 44. Further, Harvey fails to remedy the deficient teachings of Minari.

Accordingly, claim 44 is patentable over the cited references for *at least* these reasons and, thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

III. Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Application No.: 10/628,306

Attorney Docket No.: Q76748

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Andrew J. Taska /

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

65565

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Andrew J. Taska
Registration No. 54,666

Date: February 26, 2009